

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/648,871	KOTIK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Harry S. Hong	2642	

All Participants:

(1) Harry S. Hong.

(2) Ira J. Schaefer (Reg. No. 26,802).

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 12 May 2005

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

65-79 and 112.

Prior art documents discussed:

WO 00/19344 (cited by the applicants).

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Schaefer agreed to cancel claims 65-79 and 112 without prejudice in order to expedite prosecution. Refer to the attached Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Harry S. Hong
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)